

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20221
WWW.HEPLO GOV

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	A TYOPNEY DO CHARLES		
09/660,439	00/12/2002	Toshiyuki Takemori	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/000,439	09/12/2000		001155	6603	
23850 7	590 03/12/2003				
ARMSTRON	G WESTERMAN &				
ARMSTRONG,WESTERMAN & HATTORI, LLP 1725 K STREET, NW SUITE 1000			EXAMINER		
			RAO, SHRINIVAS H		
WASHINGTO	WASHINGTON, DC 20006				
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2814		
			DATE MAIL ED. 02/12/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
. Advisory Action	09/660,439	TAKEMORI ET AL.				
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Steven H. Rao	2814				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 05 March 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) $\square$ The period for reply expires $3$ months from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection.				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.  NOTE:						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 112 rejections.						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would l canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amendment				
<ul> <li>5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.</li> <li>6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.</li> </ul>						
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment( explanation of how the new or amended claims wo						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:	,					
Claim(s) rejected: 1 and 16-19.						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a	a) ☐ approved or b) ☑ disapp	oved by the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	t(s)( PTO-1449) Raper No(s)	Tis language of the same of th				
10. Other:		J. J				
	SUPERVISORY TECHNOLO	PRIMARY ELITATION OF BOTTON OF THE PRIMARY ELITATION OF THE PRIMARY ELI				

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal. Applicants' argument that their recitation in claims 1 and 11 " A source electrode film provided in contact with said source region exposed at least on the side surface of said trench and electrically insulated from said gate electrode material " is sufficient to recite " that the claims are concerned with the increase in the contact area between a source region and a source electrode film by increasing the area of the source region exposed on the inner circumferential or side surface of the trench " is not persuasive because the above referred to difference is not clearly recited in the claims so as to enable one to know what is included/excluded from the claims. Secondly, the applied reference Sapp teaches the alleged difference in at least its figure 2. Applicants' conclusion that Baliga and Sapp cannot be combined for unspecified reasons is also not persuasive.